

35.008 Evaluation for award.

(a) Generally, an R&D contract *should* be awarded to that organization, including any educational institution, that proposes the best ideas or concepts and has the highest competence in the specific field of science or technology involved. However, an award *should* not be made to obtain capabilities that exceed those needed for successful performance of the work.

(b) In R&D *contracting*, precise specifications are ordinarily not available. The *contracting officer* *should* therefore take special care in reviewing the *solicitation* evaluation factors to assure that they are properly presented and consistent with the *solicitation*.

(c) When a small business concern would otherwise be selected for award but is considered not responsible, the SBA Certificate of Competency procedure *shall* be followed (see [subpart 19.6](#)).

(d) The *contracting officer* *should* use the procedures in [subpart 15.5](#) to notify and debrief *offerors*.

(e) It is important to evaluate a proposed contractor's cost or price estimate, not only to determine whether the estimate is reasonable but also to provide valuable insight into the *offeror's* understanding of the project, perception of risks, and ability to organize and perform the work. Cost or price analysis, as appropriate (see [15.404-1\(c\)](#)), is a useful tool.

Parent topic: [Part 35 - Research and Development Contracting](#)