

**SUBPART 215.9—PROFIT**

**215.902 Policy.**

Departments and agencies shall use a structured approach for developing a prenegotiation profit or fee objective (profit objective) on any negotiated contract action that requires cost analysis, except on cost-plus-award-fee contracts (but see 215.974). There are three approaches—

- (1) The weighted guidelines method;
- (2) The modified weighted guidelines method; and
- (3) An alternate structured approach.

**215.903 Contracting officer responsibilities.**

(a) Also, do not perform a profit analysis when assessing cost realism in competitive acquisitions.

(b) The contracting officer—

- (1) Shall use the weighted guidelines method (see 215.971), unless—
  - (A) The modified weighted guidelines method applies; or
  - (B) An alternate approach is justified.
- (2) Shall use the modified weighted guidelines method (see 215.972) on contract actions with nonprofit organizations;
- (3) May use an alternate structured approach (see 215.973) when—
  - (i) The contract action is—
    - (A) Under \$500,000;
    - (B) For architect-engineer or construction work;
    - (C) Primarily for delivery of material from subcontractors; or
    - (D) A termination settlement; or
  - (ii) The weighted guidelines method does not produce a reasonable overall profit objective and the head of the contracting activity approves use of the alternate approach in writing.
- (4) Shall use the weighted guidelines method to establish a basic profit rate under a formula type pricing agreement, and may then use the basic rate on all actions under the agreement, provided that conditions affecting profit do not change.
- (5) Shall document the profit analysis in the price negotiation memorandum.

## **Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement**

### **Part 215—Contracting By Negotiation**

---

(e) Although specific agreement on the applied weights or values for individual profit factors shall not be attempted, the contracting officer may encourage the contractor to—

(1) Present the details of its proposed profit amounts in the weighted guidelines format or similar structured approach; and

(2) Use the weighted guidelines method in developing profit objectives for negotiated subcontracts.

(f) The contracting officer must also verify that relevant variables have not materially changed (e.g., performance risk, interest rates, progress payment rates, distribution of facilities capital).

#### **215.905 Profit-analysis factors.**

##### **215.905-1 Common factors.**

The common factors are embodied in the DoD structured approaches and need not be further considered by the contracting officer.

#### **215.970 DD Form 1547, Record of Weighted Guidelines Method Application.**

(a) The DD Form 1547—

(1) Provides a vehicle for performing the analysis necessary to develop a profit objective;

(2) Provides a format for summarizing profit amounts subsequently negotiated as part of the contract price; and

(3) Serves as the principal source document for reporting profit statistics to DoD's management information system.

(b) The Military Departments are responsible for establishing policies and procedures for feeding the DoD-wide management information system on profit and fee statistics (see 215.975).

(c) The contracting officer shall—

(1) Use and prepare a DD Form 1547 whenever a structured approach to profit analysis is required by 215.902. (See 215.971, 215.972, and 215.973 for guidance on using the structured approaches). Administrative instructions for completing the form are in 253.215-70.

(2) Ensure that the DD Form 1547 is accurately completed. The contracting officer is responsible for the correction of any errors detected by the management system auditing process.

**Part 215—Contracting By Negotiation**

---

**215.971 Weighted guidelines method.**

**215.971-1 General.**

(a) The weighted guidelines method focuses on three profit factors—

- (1) Performance risk;
- (2) Contract type risk; and
- (3) Facilities capital employed.

(b) The contracting officer assigns values to each profit factor; the value multiplied by the base results in the profit objective for that factor. Each profit factor has a normal value and a designated range of values. The normal value is representative of average conditions on the prospective contract when compared to all goods and services acquired by DoD. The designated range provides values based on above normal or below normal conditions. In the price negotiation memorandum, the contracting officer need not explain assignment of the normal value, but should address conditions that justify assignment of other than the normal value.

**215.971-2 Performance risk.**

(a) *Description.* This profit factor addresses the contractor's degree of risk in fulfilling the contract requirements. The factor consists of three parts—

- (1) Technical—the technical uncertainties of performance.
- (2) Management—the degree of management effort necessary to ensure that contract requirements are met.
- (3) Cost control—the contractor's efforts to reduce and control costs.

(b) *Determination.* The following extract from the DD Form 1547 is annotated to describe the process.

Item	Contractor Risk Factors	Assigned Weighting	Assigned Value	Base (Item 18)	Profit Objective
21.	Technical	(1)	(2)	N/A	N/A
22.	Management	(1)	(2)	N/A	N/A
23.	Cost Control	(1)	(2)	N/A	N/A
24.	Performance Risk (Composite)	N/A	(3)	(4)	(5)

(1) Assign a weight (percentage) to each element according to its input to the total performance risk. The total of the three weights equals 100%.

## Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement

### Part 215—Contracting By Negotiation

---

(2) Select a value for each element from the list in paragraph (c) of this subsection using the evaluation criteria in paragraphs (d), (e), and (f) of this subsection.

(3) Compute the composite as shown in the following example—

	Assigned Weighting	Assigned Value	Weighted Value
Technical	30%	5.0%	1.5%
Management	30	4.0	1.2
Cost Control	40	4.5	1.8
Composite Value	100%		4.5%

(4) Insert the amount from Block 18 of the DD Form 1547. Block 18 is total contract costs, excluding general and administrative expenses, contractor independent research and development/bid and proposal expenses, and facilities capital cost of money.

(5) Multiply (3) by (4).

(c) *Values: Normal and designated ranges.*

	Normal Value	Designated Range
Standard	4%	2% to 6%
Alternate	6%	4% to 8%

(1) Standard. The standard designated range should apply to most contracts.

(2) Alternate. Contracting officers may use the alternate designated range for research and development and service contractors when these contractors require relatively low capital investment in buildings and equipment when compared to the defense industry overall. If the alternate designated range is used, do not give any profit for facilities capital employed (see 215.971-4(c)(3)).

(d) *Evaluation criteria for technical.*

(1) Review the contract requirements and focus on the critical performance elements in the statement of work or specifications. Factors to consider include—

- (i) Technology being applied or developed by the contractor;
- (ii) Technical complexity;
- (iii) Program maturity;
- (iv) Performance specifications and tolerances;
- (v) Delivery schedule; and

## **Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement**

### **Part 215—Contracting By Negotiation**

---

(vi) Extent of a warranty or guarantee.

(2) Above normal conditions.

(i) The contracting officer may assign a higher than normal value in those cases where there is a substantial technical risk. Indicators are—

(A) The contractor is either developing or applying advanced technologies;

(B) Items are being manufactured using specifications with stringent tolerance limits;

(C) The efforts require highly skilled personnel or require the use of state of the art machinery;

(D) The services and analytical efforts are extremely important to the Government and must be performed to exacting standards;

(E) The contractor's independent development and investment has reduced the Government's risk or cost;

(F) The contractor has accepted an accelerated delivery schedule to meet DoD requirements; or

(G) The contractor has assumed additional risk through warranty provisions.

(ii) Extremely complex, vital efforts to overcome difficult technical obstacles which require personnel with exceptional abilities, experience, and professional credentials may justify a value significantly above normal.

(iii) The following may justify a maximum value—

(A) Development or initial production of a new item, particularly if performance or quality specifications are tight; or

(B) A high degree of development or production concurrency.

(3) Below normal conditions.

(i) The contracting officer may assign a lower than normal value in those cases where the technical risk is low. Indicators are—

(A) Acquisition is for off-the-shelf items;

(B) Requirements are relatively simple;

(C) Technology is not complex;

(D) Efforts do not require highly skilled personnel;

## Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement

### Part 215—Contracting By Negotiation

---

- (E) Efforts are routine;
  - (F) Programs are mature; or
  - (G) Acquisition is a follow-on effort or a repetitive type acquisition.
- (ii) The contracting officer may assign a value significantly below normal for—
- (A) Routine services;
  - (B) Production of simple items;
  - (C) Rote entry or routine integration of Government furnished information; or
  - (D) Simple operations with Government-furnished property.
- (e) *Evaluation criteria for management.*
- (1) The contracting officer should—
- (i) Assess the contractor's management and internal control systems using contracting office information and reviews made by field contract administration offices or other DoD field offices;
  - (ii) Assess the management involvement expected on the prospective contract action;
  - (iii) Consider the degree of cost mix as an indication of the types of resources applied and value-added by the contractor; and
  - (iv) Consider the contractor's support of Federal socioeconomic programs.
- (2) Above normal conditions.
- (i) The contracting officer may assign a higher than normal value when the management effort is intense. Indicators of this are—
    - (A) The contractor's value-added is both considerable and reasonably difficult;
    - (B) The effort involves a high degree of integration or coordination; or
    - (C) The contractor has a substantial record of active participation in Federal socioeconomic programs.
  - (ii) The contracting officer may justify a maximum value when the effort—
    - (A) Requires large scale integration of the most complex nature;

## Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement

### Part 215—Contracting By Negotiation

---

(B) Involves major international activities with significant management coordination (e.g., offsets with foreign vendors); or

(C) Has critically important milestones.

(3) Below normal conditions.

(i) The contracting officer may assign a lower than normal value when the management effort is minimal. Indicators of this are—

(A) The program is mature and many end item deliveries have been made;

(B) The contractor adds minimum value to an item;

(C) The efforts are routine and require minimal supervision;

(D) The contractor provides poor quality, untimely proposals;

(E) The contractor fails to provide an adequate analysis of subcontractor costs; or

(F) The contractor does not cooperate in the evaluation and negotiation of the proposal.

(ii) The following may justify a value significantly below normal—

(A) Reviews performed by the field contract administration offices disclose unsatisfactory management and internal control systems (e.g., quality assurance, property control, safety, security); or

(B) The effort requires an unusually low degree of management involvement.

(f) *Evaluation criteria for cost control.*

(1) The contracting officer should evaluate—

(i) The expected reliability of the contractor's cost estimates (including the contractor's cost estimating system);

(ii) The contractor's cost reduction initiatives (e.g., competition advocacy programs, dual sourcing, spare parts pricing reform, value engineering);

(iii) The adequacy of the contractor's management approach to controlling cost and schedule; and

(iv) Any other factors which affect the contractor's ability to meet the cost targets, e.g., foreign currency exchange rates and inflation rates.

## Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement

### Part 215—Contracting By Negotiation

---

(2) Above normal conditions. The contracting officer may assign a higher than normal value if the contractor can demonstrate a highly effective cost control program. Indicators of this are—

- (i) The contractor provides fully documented and reliable cost estimates;
- (ii) The contractor has an aggressive cost reduction program that has demonstrable benefits;
- (iii) The contractor uses a high degree of subcontract competition (e.g., aggressive dual sourcing); or
- (iv) The contractor has a proven record of cost tracking and control.

(3) Below normal conditions. The contracting officer may assign a lower than normal value if the contractor demonstrates minimal concern for cost control. Indicators are—

- (i) The contractor's cost estimating system is marginal;
- (ii) The contractor has made minimal effort to initiate cost reduction programs;
- (iii) The contractor's cost proposal is inadequate;
- (iv) The contractor has a record of cost overruns or other indication of unreliable cost estimates and lack of cost control.

#### **215.971-3 Contract type risk and working capital adjustment.**

(a) *Description*. The contract type risk factor focuses on the degree of cost risk accepted by the contractor under varying contract types. The working capital adjustment is an adjustment added to the profit objective for contract type risk. It only applies to fixed-price contracts that provide for progress payments. Though it uses a formula approach, it is not intended to be an exact calculation of the cost of working capital. Its purpose is to give general recognition to the contractor's cost of working capital under varying contract circumstances, financing policies, and the economic environment.

(b) *Determination*. The following extract from the DD 1547 is annotated to explain the process.

Item	Contractor Risk Factors	Assigned Value	Base (Item 18)	Profit Objective
25.	Contract Type Risk	(1)	(2)	(3)



# Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement

## Part 215—Contracting By Negotiation

		Cost Financed	Length Factor	Interest Rate	
26.	Working Capital (4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)

(1) Select a value from the list of contract types in paragraph (c) of this subsection using the evaluation criteria in paragraph (d) of this subsection.

(2) Insert the amount from Block 18, i.e., the total allowable costs excluding general and administrative expenses, independent research and development/bid proposal expenses, and facilities capital cost of money.

(3) Multiply (1) by (2).

(4) Only complete this Block when the prospective contract is a fixed-price contract containing provisions for progress payments.

(5) Insert the amount computed per paragraph (e) of this subsection.

(6) Insert the appropriate figure from paragraph (f) of this subsection.

(7) Use the interest rate established by the Secretary of the Treasury (230.7101(a)). Do not use any other interest rate.

(8) Multiply (5) by (6) by (7). This is the working capital adjustment. It shall not exceed 4 percent of the contract costs in Block 20.

(c) *Values: Normal and designated ranges.*

Contract Type	Notes	Normal Value	Designated Range
Firm fixed-price, no financing	(1)	5%	4% to 6%
Firm fixed-price, with financing	(2)	3%	2% to 4%
Fixed-price-incentive, no financing	(1)	3%	2% to 4%
Fixed-price with redeterminable provision	(3)		
Fixed-price-incentive, with financing	(2)	1%	0% to 2%
Cost-plus-incentive-fee	(4)	1%	0% to 2%
Cost-plus-fixed-fee	(4)	.5%	0% to 1%
Time and material contracts (including overhaul contracts priced on time and material basis)	(5)	.5%	0% to 1%
Labor-hour contracts	(5)	.5%	0% to 1%
Firm fixed-price-level-of-effort-term	(5)	.5%	0% to 1%

## Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement

### Part 215—Contracting By Negotiation

---

(1) “No financing” means that the contract either does not provide progress payments, or provides them only on a limited basis, such as financing of first articles. Do not compute a working capital adjustment.

(2) “With financing” means progress payments. When progress payments are present, compute a working capital adjustment (Block 26).

(3) For the purposes of assigning profit values, treat a fixed-price contract with redeterminable provisions as if it were a fixed-price-incentive contract with below normal conditions.

(4) Cost-plus contracts shall not receive the working capital adjustment.

(5) These types of contracts are considered cost-plus-fixed-fee contracts for the purposes of assigning profit values. They shall not receive the working capital adjustment in Block 26. However, they may receive higher than normal values within the designated range to the extent that portions of cost are fixed.

(d) *Evaluation criteria.*

(1) General. The contracting officer should consider elements that affect contract type risk such as—

(i) Length of contract;

(ii) Adequacy of cost data for projections;

(iii) Economic environment;

(iv) Nature and extent of subcontracted activity;

(v) Protection provided to the contractor under contract provisions (e.g., economic price adjustment clauses);

(vi) The ceilings and share lines contained in incentive provisions; and

(vii) Risks associated with contracts for foreign military sales (FMS) which are not funded by U.S. appropriations.

(2) Mandatory. The contracting officer shall assess the extent to which costs have been incurred prior to definitization of the contract action (see also 217.7404-6(a)). The assessment shall include any reduced contractor risk on both the contract before definitization and the remaining portion of the contract. When costs have been incurred prior to definitization, generally regard the contract type risk to be in the low end of the designated range. If a substantial portion of the costs have been incurred prior to definitization, the contracting officer may assign a value as low as 0%, regardless of contract type.

(3) Above normal conditions. The contracting officer may assign a higher than normal value when there is substantial contract type risk. Indicators of this are—

(i) Efforts where there is minimal cost history;

## Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement

### Part 215—Contracting By Negotiation

---

(ii) Long-term contracts without provisions protecting the contractor, particularly when there is considerable economic uncertainty;

(iii) Incentive provisions (e.g., cost and performance incentives) which place a high degree of risk on the contractor; or

(iv) FMS sales (other than those under DoD cooperative logistics support arrangements or those made from U.S. Government inventories or stocks) where the contractor can demonstrate that there are substantial risks above those normally present in DoD contracts for similar items.

(4) Below normal conditions. The contracting officer may assign a lower than normal value when the contract type risk is low. Indicators of this are—

(i) Very mature product line with extensive cost history;

(ii) Relatively short-term contracts;

(iii) Contractual provisions which substantially reduce the contractor's risk; or

(iv) Incentive provisions which place a low degree of risk on the contractor.

(e) *Costs financed*.

(1) Costs financed equal total costs multiplied by the portion (percent) of costs financed by the contractor.

(2) Total costs equal Block 20 (i.e., all allowable costs, including general and administrative and independent research and development/bid and proposal, but excluding facilities capital cost of money), reduced as appropriate when—

(i) The contractor has little cash investment (e.g., subcontractor progress payments liquidated late in period of performance);

(ii) Some costs are covered by special financing provisions, such as advance payments; or

(iii) The contract is multiyear and there are special funding arrangements.

(3) The portion financed by the contractor is generally the portion not covered by progress payments, i.e., 100% minus the customary progress payment rate (FAR 32.501). For example, if a contractor receives progress payments at 75%, the portion financed by the contractor is 25%. On contracts that provide flexible progress payments (252.232-7003) or progress payments to small businesses, use the customary progress payment rate for large businesses.

(f) *Contract length factor*.

(1) This is the period of time that the contractor has a working capital investment in the contract. It—

## Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement

### Part 215—Contracting By Negotiation

---

(i) Is based on the time necessary for the contractor to complete the substantive portion of the work;

(ii) Is not necessarily the period of time between contract award and final delivery (or final payment), as periods of minimal effort should be excluded;

(iii) Should not include periods of performance contained in option provisions; and

(iv) Should not, for multiyear contracts, include periods of performance beyond that required to complete the initial program year's requirements.

(2) The contracting officer—

(i) Should use the following table to select the contract length factor;

(ii) Should develop a weighted average contract length when the contract has multiple deliveries; and

(iii) May use sampling techniques provided they produce a representative result.

TABLE	
Period to Perform Substantive Portion (in months)	Contract Length Factor
21 or less	.40
22 to 27	.65
28 to 33	.90
34 to 39	1.15
40 to 45	1.40
46 to 51	1.65
52 to 57	1.90
58 to 63	2.15
64 to 69	2.40
70 to 75	2.65
76 or more	2.90

(3) Example: A prospective contract has a performance period of 40 months with end items being delivered in the 34th, 36th, 38th, and 40th months of the contract. The average period is 37 months and the contract length factor is 1.15.

#### **215.971-4 Facilities capital employed.**

(a) *Description.* This factor focuses on encouraging and rewarding aggressive capital investment in facilities that benefit DoD. It recognizes both the facilities capital that the contractor will employ in contract performance and the contractor's commitment to improving productivity.

## Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement

### Part 215—Contracting By Negotiation

---

(b) *Determination.* The following extract from the DD Form 1547 has been annotated to explain the process.

Item	Contractor Facilities Capital Employed	Assigned Value	Amount Employed	Profit Objective
27.	Land	N/A	(2)	N/A
28.	Buildings	(1)	(2)	(3)
29.	Equipment	(1)	(2)	(3)

(1) Select a value from the list in paragraph (c) of this subsection using the evaluation criteria in paragraph (d) of this subsection.

(2) Use the allocated facilities capital attributable to land, buildings, and equipment, as derived in DD Form 1861, "Contract Facilities Capital Cost of Money" (see 215.871-5 and 230.7001).

(i) In addition to the net book value of facilities capital employed, consider facilities capital that is part of a formal investment plan if the contractor submits reasonable evidence that—

(A) Achievable benefits to DoD will result from the investment; and

(B) The benefits of the investment are included in the forward pricing structure.

(ii) If the value of intracompany transfers has been included in Block 18 at cost (i.e., excluding general and administrative (G&A) expenses and profit), add to the contractor's allocated facilities capital, the allocated facilities capital attributable to the buildings and equipment of those corporate divisions supplying the intracompany transfers. Do not make this addition if the value of intracompany transfers has been included in Block 18 at price (i.e., including G&A expenses and profit).

(3) Multiply (1) by (2).

(c) *Values: Normal and designated ranges.*

Notes	Asset Type	Normal Value	Designated Range
(1)	Land	0%	N/A
(1)	Buildings	15%	10% to 20%
(1)	Equipment	35%	20% to 50%
(2)	Land	0%	N/A
(2)	Buildings	5%	0% to 10%
(2)	Equipment	20%	15% to 25%
(3)	Land	0%	N/A
(3)	Buildings	0%	0%
(3)	Equipment	0%	0%

## Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement

### Part 215—Contracting By Negotiation

---

(1) These are the normal values and ranges. They apply to all situations except those noted in (2) and (3).

(2) These alternate values and ranges apply to situations where a highly facilitized manufacturing firm will be performing a research and development or services contract. They balance the method used to allocate facilities capital cost of money, which may produce disproportionate allocation of assets to these types of efforts.

(3) When using a value from the alternate designated range for the performance risk factor (215.971-2(c)(2)), do not allow profit on facilities capital employed.

(d) *Evaluation criteria.*

(1) In evaluating facilities capital employed, the contracting officer—

(i) Should relate the usefulness of the facilities capital to the goods or services being acquired under the prospective contract;

(ii) Should analyze the productivity improvements and other anticipated industrial base enhancing benefits resulting from the facilities capital investment, including—

(A) The economic value of the facilities capital, such as physical age, undepreciated value, idleness, and expected contribution to future defense needs; and

(B) The contractor's level of investment in defense related facilities as compared with the portion of the contractor's total business which is derived from DoD;

(iii) Should consider any contractual provisions that reduce the contractor's risk of investment recovery, such as termination protection clause, capital investment indemnification, and productivity saving rewards (215.870-3); and

(iv) Shall ensure that increases in facilities capital investments are not merely asset revaluations attributable to mergers, stock transfers, take-overs, sales of corporate entities, or similar actions.

(2) Above normal conditions.

(i) The contracting officer may assign a higher than normal value if the facilities capital investment has direct, identifiable, and exceptional benefits. Indicators are—

(A) New investments in state-of-the-art technology which reduce acquisition cost or yield other tangible benefits such as improved product quality or accelerated deliveries;

(B) Investments in new equipment for research and development applications; or

## Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement

### Part 215—Contracting By Negotiation

---

(C) Contractor demonstration that the investments are over and above the normal capital investments necessary to support anticipated requirements of DoD programs.

(ii) The contracting officer may assign a value significantly above normal when there are direct and measurable benefits in efficiency and significantly reduced acquisition costs on the effort being priced. Maximum values apply only to those cases where the benefits of the facilities capital investment are substantially above normal.

(3) Below normal conditions.

(i) The contracting officer may assign a lower than normal value if the facilities capital investment has little benefit to DoD. Indicators are—

(A) Allocations of capital apply predominantly to commercial item lines;

(B) Investments are for such things as furniture and fixtures, home or group level administrative offices, corporate aircraft and hangars, gymnasiums; or

(C) Facilities are old or extensively idle.

(ii) The contracting officer may assign a value significantly below normal when a significant portion of defense manufacturing is done in an environment characterized by outdated, inefficient, and labor-intensive capital equipment.

#### **215.972 Modified weighted guidelines method for nonprofit organizations.**

(a) *Definition.* As used in this subpart, a nonprofit organization is a business entity—

(1) Which operates exclusively for charitable, scientific, or educational purposes;

(2) Whose earnings do not benefit any private shareholder or individual;

(3) Whose activities do not involve influencing legislation or political campaigning for any candidate for public office; and

(4) Which is exempted from Federal income taxation under section 501 of the Internal Revenue Code.

(b) For nonprofit organizations which are Federally funded research and development centers (FFRDCs), the contracting officer—

(1) Should consider whether any fee is appropriate. Considerations shall include the FFRDC's—

(i) Proportion of retained earnings (as established under generally accepted accounting methods) that relates to DoD contracted effort;

(ii) Facilities capital acquisition plans;

## Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement

### Part 215—Contracting By Negotiation

---

- (iii) Working capital funding as assessed on operating cycle cash needs;
  - (iv) Contingency funding; and
  - (v) Provision for funding unreimbursed costs deemed ordinary and necessary to the FFRDC.
- (2) Shall, when a fee is considered appropriate, compute the fee objective using the weighted guidelines method in 215.971, with the following modifications—
- (i) Modifications to performance risk (Blocks 21-24 of the DD Form 1547).
    - (A) If the contracting officer assigns a value from the standard designated range (215.971-2(c)), reduce the fee objective by an amount equal to 1% of the costs in Block 18 of the DD Form 1547. Show the net (reduced) amount on the DD Form 1547.
    - (B) If the contracting officer assigns a value from the alternate designated range, reduce the fee objective by an amount equal to 2% of the costs in Block 18 of the DD Form 1547. Show the net (reduced) amount on the DD Form 1547.
  - (ii) Modifications to contract type risk (Block 25 of the DD Form 1547). Use a designated range of -1% to 0% in lieu of the values in 215.971-3. There is no normal value.
- (c) For nonprofit organizations which are entities that have been identified by the Secretary of Defense or a Secretary of a Department as receiving sustaining support on a cost-plus-fixed-fee basis from a particular DoD department or agency, compute a fee objective for covered actions using the weighted guidelines method in 215.971, modified as described in paragraph (b)(2) of this section.
- (d) For all other nonprofit organizations, compute a fee objective for covered actions using the weighted guidelines method in 215.971, modified as described in paragraph (b)(2)(i) of this section.
- 215.973 Alternate structured approaches.**
- (a) The contracting officer may use an alternate structured approach under 215.903.
  - (b) The contracting officer may design the structure of the alternate, but it shall include—
    - (1) Consideration of the three basic components of profit—performance risk, contract type risk (including working capital), and facilities capital employed. However, the contracting officer is not required to complete Blocks 21 through 30 of the DD Form 1547.
    - (2) Offset for facilities capital cost of money.
  - (i) The contracting officer shall reduce the overall prenegotiation profit objective by the lesser of 1% of total cost or the amount of facilities capital cost of



## Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement

### Part 215—Contracting By Negotiation

---

money. The profit amount in the negotiation summary of the DD Form 1547 must be net of the offset.

(ii) This adjustment is needed for the following reason: The values of the profit factors used in the weighted guidelines method were adjusted to recognize the shift in facilities capital cost of money from an element of profit to an element of contract cost (FAR 31.205-10) and reductions were made directly to the profit factors for performance risk. In order to ensure that this policy is applied to all DoD contracts which allow facilities capital cost of money, similar adjustments shall be made to contracts which use alternate structured approaches.

#### **215.974 Fee requirements for cost-plus-award-fee contracts.**

In developing a fee objective for cost-plus-award-fee contracts, the contracting officer shall—

- (a) Follow the guidance in FAR 16.404-2 and 216.404-2;
- (b) Not use the weighted guidelines method or alternate structured approach;
- (c) Apply the offset policy in 215.973(b)(2) for facilities capital cost of money, i.e., reduce the base fee by the lesser of 1% of total costs or the amount of facilities capital cost of money; and
- (d) Not complete a DD Form 1547.

#### **215.975 Reporting profit and fee statistics.**

(a) Contracting officers in contracting offices which participate in the management information system for profit and fee statistics send completed DD Forms 1547 on actions of \$500,000 or more, where the contracting officer used either the weighted guidelines method, an alternate structured approach, or the modified weighted guidelines method, to their designated office within 30 days after contract award.

(b) Participating contracting offices and their designated offices are—

<u>Contracting Office</u>	<u>Designated Office</u>
ARMY	
All	Army Procurement Research and Analysis Office ATTN: SFRD-KPR (WGL) Bldg 12500, C Wing Ft. Lee, VA 23801-6045

## Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement

### Part 215—Contracting By Negotiation

---

NAVY	
*Naval Air Systems Command	Commander Naval Supply Systems Command ATTN: SUP 026 Washington, DC 20376-5000
*Naval Sea Systems Command *Space and Naval Warfare Systems Command *Naval Facilities Engineering Command *Naval Supply Systems Command *Office of Naval Research *Headquarters, United States Marine Corps *Strategic Systems Programs Office *Military Sealift Command *Automatic Data Processing Selection Office *Navy Regional Data Automation Center *Naval Research Laboratory *Navy Commercial Communications Center *Naval Aviation Depot Operations Center  *Includes all subordinate field offices	
AIR FORCE	
Air Force Materiel Command (all field offices)	Air Force Materiel Command 645 CCSG/SCOS ATTN: J010 Clerk 2721 Sacramento Street Wright-Patterson Air Force Base Ohio 45433

(c) When negotiation of a contract action over \$500,000 has been delegated to another contracting agency (e.g., to an administrative contracting officer), that agency shall ensure that a copy of the DD Form 1547 is provided to the delegating office for reporting purposes within 30 days from negotiation of the contract action.

(d) Contracting offices outside the United States, its possessions, and Puerto Rico are exempt from reporting.

(e) Designated offices send a quarterly (non-cumulative) report of DD Form 1547 data to—

Washington Headquarters Service  
Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (WHS/DIOR)  
1215 Jefferson Davis Highway  
Suite 1204  
Arlington, VA 22202-4302

(f) In preparing/sending the quarterly report, designated offices—

- (1) Perform the necessary audits to ensure information accuracy;
- (2) Do not enter classified information;

## **Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement**

### **Part 215—Contracting By Negotiation**

---

(3) Transmit the report via computer magnetic tape using the procedures, format, and editing process issued by the Director of Defense Procurement; and

(4) Send the reports not later than the 30th day after the close of the quarterly reporting periods.

(g) These reporting requirements have been assigned report control symbol: P&L(Q) 1751.